From: Seto Michael C

Sent: Friday, October 07, 2011 12:34 PM

To: Paz Holly O

Subject: FW: Advocacy Org Cases in TEDS

FYI

From: Seto Michael C

Sent: Thursday, October 06, 2011 2:41 PM

To: Thomas Cindy M

Subject: FW: Advocacy Org Cases in TEDS

FYI

From: Seto Michael C

Sent: Thursday, October 06, 2011 2:40 PM

To: Goehausen Hilary; Lowe Justin **Cc:** Megosh Andy; Grodnitzky Steven **Subject:** RE: Advocacy Org Cases in TEDS

Hi Hilary,

I spoke with Cindy on these cases. We want you guys to finish reviewing these cases first so EOD can determine what type of cases they are and how to process them. Your evaluations will help Cindy determine how many people she will need to process these cases. At that point, you can then help EOD staff to develop them, etc.

If you have any questions, let me know. Thanks, Mike

From: Goehausen Hilary

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 4:06 PM

To: Seto Michael C

Subject: Advocacy Org Cases in TEDS

Hi Mike,

After talking with Justin and reviewing some of the cases I've looked at (11), we agreed that only one of the cases would be favorable. The other cases, while not engaging in political campaign intervention/activities, may need further development because it is questionable whether they're even engaging in social welfare act ivities. Many are simply propaganda.

Justin explained to me the approach he and Susan Cundiff took while reviewing ACA cases in TEDS which involved having weekly meetings with the agents and discussing the cases. He thought a similar approach would work—with these cases. We would go through them and talk to Cindy, etc. about our thoughts on each case, issues for further development, and other things they may want to consider. Is that an acceptable approach?

Thanks, Hilary Hilary Goehausen
Tax Law Specialist
Exempt Organizations
Technical Group 1
1111 Constitution Ave., NW
Washington, D.C. 20224
p: 202.283.8915
f: 202.283.8937
Hilary.Goehausen@irs.gov